IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELALTE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1159 OF 2004

Smt.Sheela Rani Nandpal ...Petitioner

Vs.

Mr.Sunil Kumar Nandpal and others ...Respondents

....

Mr.A.P.Mundergi with Mr.W.N.Yande with Mr.S.A.Sawant for Petitioner
Mr.Mukesh Ahooja for Respondent no.1
Mr.C.M.Lokesh i/b M/s. G.S.Hegde & Associates for Respondent nos.2 and 3.
Mr.K.V.Reddy for Respondent no.5.
Mr.I.S.Thakur, A.P.P. for Respondent nos.8 & 9.

....

CORAM : H.L.GOKHALE AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.
DATE : AUGUST 10,2004

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr.Mundergi with Mr.Yande and Mr.Sawant for Petitioner. Mr.Ahooja appears for respondent no.1. Mr.Lokesh appears for respondent nos.2 and 3. Mr.Reddy appears for respondent no.5. Mr.Thakur appears for respondent nos.8 and 9.

2. The petition is essentially concerned with civil transaction and is arising out of civil dispute between the parties. However, the petitioner has sought to allege forgery and that is why the complaint has been filed in the concerned Court of Metropolitan Magistrate. The concerned Police Station was asked to make a report and then after

their report, they have filed charge-sheet. It appears that petitioner-mother did not want two other sons to be involved in the allegations which she was making against the respondent no.1-son. The police on their investigation, have found some material against them and have filed charge-sheet. They have also sent necessary documents during the investigation to the handwriting expert. It will be open to them to file additional charge sheet after receipt of the report from handwriting expert.

3. We do not find that this can be a ground for seeking transfer of investigation to any D.C.P. incharge of Crime. No necessity to interfere with the investigation. Petition is dismissed.

. Certified copy expedited.

[H.L.GOKHALE,J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]